



LECTURE

Controversial indications for mechanical thrombectomy

Ângelo Carneiro¹

From the Porto University Center of Medicine Stroke Update Course, Porto, Portugal. 26–27 June 2018.

Abstract

After several positive randomized clinical trials (RCT), mechanical thrombectomy (MT) has become the standard of care for patients with acute (up to 24h) ischaemic stroke caused by large vessel occlusion of the anterior circulation, with a tremendous impact on clinical outcome and an impressively low number needed to treat. However, guidelines emanating from the clinical trials advocate MT just for adult patients with important clinical deficits (NIHSS ≥ 6), small ischaemic core (ASPECTS ≥ 6) and either internal carotid artery or middle cerebral artery (M1 segment) occlusions. As such, for the time being, there are still many patients who

do not fit these criteria but who could potentially benefit from MT. These include cases of minor symptoms (but with large vessel occlusion), large ischaemic core or distal occlusions (M2, A1). Moreover, the role of MT in posterior circulation strokes or in paediatric patients remains unproven.

Some of these uncertainties will presumably be solved by ongoing RCTs. While strong evidence (pro or con) is lacking, individual treatment decisions for each case should be taken by a multidisciplinary team, taking into account the presumed natural history of the disease and the presumed impact (risks and benefits) of the treatment.

¹Department of Neuroradiology, Hospital de Santo António, Centro Hospitalar do Porto, Porto, Portugal

Citation: Carneiro, A. Controversial indications for mechanical thrombectomy. International Journal of Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health 2018; 5(Suppl. 2):L9

Published: 25 Jun 2018



Open Access Publication Available at <http://ijcnmh.arc-publishing.org>

© 2018 Carneiro, A. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

